Cultural Security: Threats and Policy Options

Dr. Gubara Said Hassan[1] and Aaisha Rashid AL Zaabi[1]

1 Department of Political Science, Sultan Qaboos University, Muscat, Oman

Abstract: This research paper argues that human culture and cultural heritage or property are of rising prominence in international relations and security studies in a rapidly globalizing and securitizing world. However, despite the tremendous security threats they encounter, they represent an arena of inquiry, somewhat overlooked and understudied in place of developing a critique of conventional security paradigms. The paper aims to address a host of questions, including: what are culture and cultural heritage or property? And what are the tremendous security threats they encounter? The paper utilizes qualitative research methodology and a constructivist theoretical approach to examine the eminence of culture and cultural heritage and the role they contribute to the construction and reconstruction of societies, human identities, collective memories, and governance institutions. It also sheds light on the various security threats and risks, culture and cultural heritage face especially in times of violent conflicts and natural disasters, and the security policies that could be adopted to respond to such threats and risks at the national, regional, and international levels.

Keywords: Culture, Heritage, cultural heritage, threats, cultural security, policy options/measures, protection.

1. Introduction: Heritage, Cultural Heritage and Security

Heritage, a multidimensional notion, has tremendous value throughout nations and communities, representing a rich tapestry of history, customs, and identity. Heritage includes both tangible and intangible legacies passed down through generations, ranging from ancient relics to intangible cultural customs. Viewing history from many angles reveals its rich complexity and fundamental significance (Benhamou 2020). In the words of UNESCO: "Heritage is our legacy from the past, what we live with today, and what we pass on to future generations" (UNESCO 2009). This captures the intergenerational transfer of cultural knowledge and behaviors, which defines legacy as a continuum of human experience. Heritage is a source of inspiration, resiliency, and identity creation; However, it goes beyond simple preservation. It is author Salman Rushdie who says, "How freely we exchange ideas and feelings preserves or diminishes our heritage and ideals, our code and standards - the things we live by and teach our children." This emphasizes how legacy is dynamic and is formed by constant encounters and exchanges across groups. According to anthropologist David Lowenthal, heritage also represents a feeling of location and belonging: "Heritage is not so much history's endowment as its currency, continually revalued in the collective marketplace of the present." This demonstrates how legacy is being interpreted and reinterpreted in response to modern concerns and goals. Examining history via a variety of lenses reveals its transformational power and ongoing importance in forming personal and societal identities, promoting cross-cultural communication, and preserving a common humanity.

1.1. Importance of Securing Cultural Heritage

For the concrete and intangible traces of human history, identity, and innovation to survive, cultural heritage must be safeguarded. Cultural heritage is made up of a diverse range of items, structures, customs, and behaviors that represent the shared beliefs and experiences of both historical and contemporary communities. Protecting cultural heritage is crucial for more reasons than just preservation though; it's the cornerstone of social cohesiveness, intercultural understanding, and economic growth. Furthermore, preservation of cultural assets promotes not economic growth and social welfare but also developmental sustainability. In this respect, cultural heritage sites, such museums, historical locations, and archeological digs, draw visitors, provide income, and boost regional economies (UNESCO World Heritage Convention 2018). Heritage tourism can have a significant positive impact

on the economy by generating employment, developing infrastructure, and attracting more funds for the preservation of cultural assets (Arumugam, Nakkeeran, and Subramaniam 2023). Also, protecting cultural heritage also encourages environmentally friendly and culturally authentic tourism (Nankervis 2002). Consequently, financial investments in cultural heritage conservation promote wealth and economic progress in addition to protecting priceless assets.

Additionally, encouraging intercultural communication and understanding is greatly aided by the preservation of cultural heritage. Sites of cultural heritage provide forums for intercultural dialogue, education, and diplomacy, encouraging tolerance and respect across many cultures (Farmaki and Stergiou 2021). Heritage conservation helps to advance social justice, peace, and reconciliation by protecting and exhibiting cultural variety in post-conflict nations, cultural heritage plays a crucial role in creating social cohesion and reconciliation. Likewise, healing collective wounds and promoting reconciliation processes is always linked to preserving heritage (Barakat 2020).

It is impossible to overestimate the significance of preserving cultural heritage. Cultural legacy has intrinsic worth as a storehouse of human invention and history, but it also has important functions in identity formation, promoting economic growth, and building cross-cultural understanding. Societies may maintain their distinctive legacies, encourage sustainable development, and provide a platform for intergenerational communication and collaboration by investing in cultural heritage conservation. The objective of this research is to examine and evaluate the different strategies used to prevent the destruction and theft of cultural property. It will also examine legal frameworks and physical security measures, as well as the role that technological advancements and community involvement play in preserving and securing our common cultural heritage from a variety of threats and challenges in a turbulent world.

1.2. Cultural Security

When contemplating the concept of cultural security, it is imperative to be mindful of its multi-faceted and diverse definitions which largely depend on the discipline and/or institution within which it is situated and perceived or conceptualized. In addition, and as proof of the growing significance of cultural security, a host of disciplines outside of archaeology and art history has developed. They include the history of wartime plunder development of multilateral conventions and bilateral agreements on protection of cultural property, the illicit trade in fine art and antiquities, and repatriation and restitution of plundered and looted cultural property. Although the fields have rich and growing bodies of literature, which provide historical depth and offer topical analyses, integration of the complementary fields as a discipline remains a nascent concept. Incorporating such fields will contribute to predicting the dangerous exploitation of cultural property in times and acts of political violence and will provide a ground for comprehending the nexus between cultural heritage and international security. With a cognizance of the security implications of historical and current abuses against cultural property, active exchange or collaboration between scholars in fields such as art history, archaeology, national-property law, international relations, security studies, political violence, and counterterrorism will reveal the degree of interrelationship and define the discipline of cultural security.

As presented in this paper, the concept of cultural security is the protection and preservation of the dynamic, interconnected system of symbols, beliefs, values, behaviors and practices that shape a group's identity and worldview in the face of internal challenges and external pressures. It encompasses research, analyses, and strategies aimed at mitigating exploitation of cultural property as a pawn in foreign relations and as a security mechanism in acts of political violence and terrorism (Nemeth 2007). Furthermore, building on the broad definition of terrorism as 'premeditated, politically motivated violence perpetrated against noncombatant targets by subnational groups or clandestine agents, usually intended to influence an audience' cultural security inflates targets to specifically comprise both tangible and intangible objects of cultural significance. Differently expressed, while tangible cultural objects include national monuments, fine arts, antiquities and artifacts, intangible items of cultural heritage include "the practices, representations, expressions, knowledge, skills—as well as the instruments, objects, artefacts and cultural spaces associated therewith—that communities, groups and, in some cases, individuals recognize as part of their cultural heritage. This intangible cultural heritage, transmitted from generation to generation, is constantly recreated by communities and groups in response to their environment, their interaction with nature and their history, and provides them with a sense of identity and continuity, thus promoting respect for cultural diversity and human creativity" (UNESCO 2022). The subsequent sections shed

general lights on the persistent threats to cultural property and security especially during times of armed and violent conflicts and the policy options or measures for protecting and securing cultural heritage or property.

1.3. Threats to Cultural Heritage

Cultural heritage, the storehouse of mankind's common history and identity, confronts several dangers to its preservation and continuance. Conflict, natural catastrophes, and illegal commerce are among the risks to our cultural heritage, each providing distinct obstacles to its preservation.

Conflict, one of the most severe dangers to cultural property, has destroyed numerous places and artifacts throughout the world. During times of war, the purposeful destruction of cultural assets not only erases visible evidence of the past, but it also weakens communities' social structures (United Nations 2016). As author Chimamanda Ngozi Adichie sadly puts it, "The destruction of a culture is a destruction of the people themselves." This emotion emphasizes the deep effect of cultural heritage loss on communal identity and resilience in conflict-affected communities. For example, conflict has wrecked countless cultural sites, like Syria's ancient city of Palmyra, where the Islamic State purposefully destroyed precious artifacts and monuments (Bilefsky 2017). This purposeful devastation not only erases visible remnants of the past, but it also erodes the social fabric of conflict-affected communities.

Natural catastrophes represent another major threat to cultural assets, posing serious and often unpredictable threats to monuments, archaeological sites and cultural sites. From earthquakes to hurricanes, these disasters can destroy intangible elements of cultural heritage and prevent future generations from connecting with the past. As environmentalist Rachel Carson points out, "The more clearly we can focus our attention on the wonders and realities of the universe around us, the less taste we shall have for destruction." This quotation emphasizes the necessity of increasing awareness about the susceptibility of cultural assets to natural catastrophes, as well as the need for proactive actions to prevent their effects. As illustrated by the devastation caused by Haiti's 2010 earthquake in the ancient city of Jacmel. The earthquake severely damaged historic buildings and sites, including the famed Iron Market, creating a panorama of devastation and loss (Pallardy 2024). The vulnerability of cultural assets to natural catastrophes highlights the critical need for proactive efforts to reduce their damage and protect our collective past.

Illicit commerce, driven by avarice and contempt for cultural significance, is a third challenge to cultural heritage preservation. The unlawful trafficking of antiquities and cultural objects not only deprives people of their legacy, but it also feeds a cycle of exploitation and criminal activity. As archaeologist Zahi Hawass puts it, "The theft of cultural heritage is a crime against humanity." This strong quotation highlights the moral importance of combating illegal trafficking and protecting cultural heritage from exploitation and commercialization. The illegal trade of antiquities and cultural objects robs people of their history while also perpetuating a cycle of exploitation and criminal activity. For example, looting archeological sites in Iraq and Afghanistan during conflict times has resulted in the unlawful trading of valuable artifacts on the global black market, robbing these countries of their cultural heritage (Ahmad 2022).

The loss of cultural heritage, whether caused by conflict, natural catastrophes, or illegal commerce, has far-reaching effects for communities and preservation initiatives. Beyond the physical destruction of items and structures, legacy loss erodes impacted communities' communal memory and identity, leaving lasting wounds. Cultural heritage destruction exacerbates existing societal tensions in conflict-affected areas, perpetuating cycles of violence and displacement (Apaydin 2020). As historian Howard Zinn rightfully remarks, "You can't be neutral on a moving train." This quotation emphasizes the moral obligation to speak out against cultural heritage destruction and to assist communities in their attempts to conserve and rebuild their legacy. Cultural heritage destruction exacerbates existing societal tensions in conflict-affected areas, perpetuating cycles of violence and displacement. The Taliban, for example, deliberately destroys cultural landmarks in Afghanistan, erasing physical remnants of the country's rich cultural heritage while simultaneously undermining efforts to foster reconciliation and healing (Ahmad 2022).

Furthermore, heritage loss hinders conservation efforts and reduces communities' ability to protect their cultural legacy for future generations. The destruction of important cultural monuments and artifacts impedes study and

scholarship while also depriving communities of crucial resources for education, tourism, and economic growth (Apaydin 2020). As anthropologist Margaret Mead points out, "Never doubt that a small group of thoughtful, committed citizens can change the world; indeed, it's the only thing that ever has." This emphasizes the importance of grassroots activity and community participation in safeguarding cultural heritage and generating support for preservation initiatives. For example, looting archeological sites in Peru has resulted in the loss of important artifacts about the country's pre-Columbian civilizations, jeopardizing attempts to understand and appreciate Peru's rich cultural history (Coomes et al. 2021).

Threats to cultural heritage provide substantial problems for communities and preservation initiatives globally. As indicated below, we can protect our shared cultural history for future generations by increasing awareness of these challenges and organizing collective action to address them.

1.4. Cultural Security: Policy Option/Measures for Protecting and Securing Cultural Heritage

In the continuous struggle to protect cultural treasures, a multifaceted strategy to security is required. This includes not just physical protection, but also the development of legislative frameworks and active community participation.

1.4.1. Physical Security: Surveillance and Access Controls

Implementing physical security measures is critical for safeguarding cultural heritage places and objects. Surveillance systems, such as CCTV cameras and motion sensors, act as alert guardians, discouraging possible dangers while providing real-time monitoring. For example, the Louvre Museum in Paris uses cutting-edge monitoring equipment to protect its precious collection and maintain continual vigilance against theft and destruction (Zeidler and Stepnowska 2021).

Furthermore, access controls are critical in regulating accessibility to cultural heritage locations and preventing illicit access. This can include having security officers stationed at entrances as well as installing barriers and gates. For example, the Great Wall of China, a UNESCO World Heritage site, uses access controls like ticket booths and checkpoints to manage tourist traffic and safeguard the historic walls and defenses from destruction (Burduk, Pszczyński, and Stec 2021).

While physical security measures are necessary, they have limitations. Despite the availability of monitoring systems and access controls, dedicated thieves may still discover methods to circumvent security measures, as evidenced by the theft of important artworks from the Isabella Stewart Gardner Museum in Boston (Zorzi, McCauly and Hirshler 2006). This emphasizes the need of combining security measures and conducting proactive risk assessments to effectively reduce possible attacks.

1.4.2. Legal Frameworks: Laws, Conventions and Enforcement

The development of solid legal frameworks is crucial for protecting cultural assets at both the national and international levels. Laws and regulations govern the ownership, trade, and preservation of cultural assets, creating a legal framework for dealing with illegal conduct and punishing violators. For example, the 1970 UNESCO Convention on the Means of Prohibiting and combating the Illicit Import, Export, and Transfer of Ownership of Cultural Property offers principles for combating illicit cultural property trafficking and restoring stolen objects to their original nations (Ortiz and Madariaga 2021).

Additionally, international conventions such as The Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict (1954) establish protocols for safeguarding cultural heritage during times of conflict, such as designating cultural property as having special protection status and establishing safeguards against looting and destruction (Jueptner 2020). Despite the availability of these legal instruments, enforcement methods vary greatly among countries, resulting in gaps in protection and problems in prosecuting perpetrators.

Legal frameworks must also be complemented by efficient enforcement measures in order to assure compliance and accountability. To investigate illegal actions, recover stolen items, and prosecute criminals, law enforcement

authorities, cultural heritage institutions, and international organizations must work together. For example, INTERPOL's Works of Art unit oversees global operations to prevent art theft and trafficking, supporting information exchange and cross-border cooperation in the pursuit of offenders and the recovery of stolen artworks (Greenland 2021).

Nevertheless, while legal frameworks are important instruments for addressing illegal activity, their efficacy is dependent on political will, financial allocation, and international collaboration. In many circumstances, a lack of international cooperation and defined protocols impedes successful attempts to address cultural heritage crime.

1.4.3. Community Engagement and Awareness Initiatives

In addition to physical security and regulatory frameworks, community participation and awareness campaigns are critical components of cultural heritage protection. Engaging local communities as cultural heritage stewards develops a sense of ownership and responsibility, allowing them to actively engage in its preservation and protection (Labadi et al. 2021). For example, Jordan's Petra National Trust collaborates closely with local communities to promote awareness about the need of maintaining Petra's archaeological legacy, as well as offering training and employment possibilities for local citizens and incorporating them in conservation efforts (Hayajneh and Cesaro 2022).

Moreover, awareness campaigns educate the public about the importance of cultural heritage and the risks it confronts, promoting a culture of respect and appreciation for heritage places and objects. This might take the shape of instructional seminars, public talks, and outreach programs aimed at schools, colleges, and communities. For example, the British Museum in London provides a variety of educational activities for schools and families, allowing for hands-on learning and discovery of various cultures and history (Hewison 2023).

While community participation and awareness initiatives are critical for instilling a feeling of common responsibility for cultural property, they require long-term commitment, coordination, and outreach to effectively reach a wide range of people. In many situations, inadequate financing and resources restrict the extent and effect of these projects, emphasizing the importance of ongoing support and lobbying to place cultural heritage conservation on local, national, and global agendas.

1.5. Technology in Heritage Protection

In today's world, technology is more important in the conservation and preservation of cultural property or assets. Advances in digital recordkeeping and remote sensing have transformed historic conservation efforts, providing novel answers to long-standing issues.

1.5.1. Digital Documentation and Preservation Strategies

Digital documentation techniques have revolutionized how cultural legacy is recorded, archived, and kept for future generations. High-resolution photography methods, 3D scanning, and photogrammetry allow researchers and conservationists to make precise digital copies of artifacts, monuments, and locations with unparalleled precision (Masciotta et al. 2021). For example, the CyArk project uses cutting-edge laser scanning technology to produce digital models of cultural heritage sites like Pompeii, enabling virtual study and investigation of these renowned structures (Megary and Hadick 2021). Furthermore, digital databases and archives serve as centralized repositories for storing and accessing cultural heritage data, enabling global cooperation and information exchange among academics and organizations. Online platforms such as the Digital Library of Georgia and Europeana provide access to enormous collections of digital cultural items, manuscripts, and artworks, democratizing cultural heritage resources and encouraging multidisciplinary study and teaching (Omotayo and Haliru 2020).

Since digital documentation makes it possible to preserve cultural items and locations for future generations, it is essential to the preservation of heritage. The Oman Across Ages Museum serves as an excellent example of how important digital recording is to heritage conservation initiatives. Oman's rich cultural legacy, which includes historical structures, artifacts, and archeological sites, has been painstakingly documented via the use of cutting-edge technology including virtual reality, high-resolution imagery, and 3D scanning. This digital collection records

the historical and cultural value of these artifacts in unprecedented detail, in addition to preserving their physical qualities. Oman guarantees the permanence and accessibility of its cultural treasures through digitization, facilitating remote exploration, research, and educational endeavors. Additionally, the danger of loss or destruction from urbanization, human conflict, or natural catastrophes is reduced when recordkeeping is done digitally (Foreign Ministry of Oman 2023). Essentially, digital documentation is an effective instrument for historical conservation and promotion that helps people of all ages and places appreciate and comprehend the past.

While digital documentation technologies provide substantial benefits for cultural preservation, they also have drawbacks and restrictions. Data storage, interoperability, and long-term preservation are continuous issues for maintaining and accessing digital historical archives. Also, issues of privacy, copyright, and data security necessitate careful study and ethical monitoring to ensure the proper use and sharing of cultural heritage material in digital formats.

1.5.2. Monitoring and Detection Using Remote Sensing

Remote sensing technologies offer effective methods for monitoring and identifying risks to cultural heritage sites, such as illicit excavation, looting, and environmental degradation. Researchers and authorities may undertake detailed surveys of ancient sites and landscapes using satellite images, aerial photography, and LiDAR (Light Detection and Ranging) scanning, which can determine changes in land use, plant cover, and soil composition across time (Losè et al. 2022). For example, the GlobalXplorer effort uses satellite photography and crowdsourcing to locate possible archeological sites and monitor risks to cultural heritage in distant areas throughout the world (Van Valkenburgh and Dufton 2020).

Furthermore, remote sensing techniques may be used with Geographic Information Systems (GIS) to evaluate spatial data and develop prediction models of historic site vulnerability and risk. Researchers can identify high-risk regions and prioritize conservation efforts by mapping criteria including topographical features, proximity to metropolitan centers, and previous occurrences of theft or vandalism. For example, the Cultural Heritage Imaging Foundation use GIS and remote sensing technology to assess the susceptibility of cultural heritage sites to climate change and natural catastrophes, therefore influencing adaptation and mitigation efforts to conserve these precious assets (Nishanbaev, Champion, and McMeekin 2021).

Remote sensing technologies can give valuable information regarding the state and condition of cultural heritage items, but they have limitations. Weather conditions, cloud cover, and topographical obstacles can all have an influence on the quality and dependability of remote sensing data, limiting its value for monitoring and detection in specific situations. Furthermore, the cost and technical expertise required to implement remote sensing technologies may be barriers to adoption for resource-constrained institutions and communities, emphasizing the importance of capacity building and collaboration in realizing these tools' potential for heritage preservation.

1.6. Case Studies and Best Practices

In terms of cultural heritage protection, case studies provide significant insights into successful projects and effective techniques, as well as lessons learned and best practices for future conservation efforts.

1.6.1. Effective Protection Initiatives

Examining successful conservation programs gives a road map for understanding the important aspects that influence good cultural preservation. One famous example is the rehabilitation of Dubrovnik, Croatia's medieval city. Following considerable devastation caused by the Yugoslav Wars in the 1990s, concerted efforts were made to reconstruct and restore the city's architectural history, including its distinctive medieval walls and structures. Dubrovnik has been restored into a vibrant cultural attraction, attracting millions of people annually while retaining its distinct character and legacy, thanks to a mix of foreign investment, community participation, and rigorous restoration work (Radnić, Matešan, and Abaza 2020).

Likewise, the preservation endeavors in the Angkor Archaeological Park in Cambodia provide invaluable insights for safeguarding cultural heritage and promoting sustainable growth. Angkor is home to the magnificent Khmer

Empire ruins, which include the well-known temple of Angkor Wat. It is recognized as a UNESCO World Heritage site. Comprehensive conservation and management plans have been implemented over the years because of the combined efforts of the Cambodian government, foreign organizations, and local communities. These plans have protected Angkor's delicate monuments from the effects of time, tourism, and environmental degradation (Scarbrough 2021).

These case studies do, however, also emphasize the continued difficulties and complexity associated with heritage protection, emphasizing the value of preventative conservation measures and community involvement in preserving cultural property. The long-term viability of heritage sites is seriously threatened by problems including limited funding, unstable political environments, and unsustainable tourism. These issues must be adequately addressed with ongoing vigilance and adaptive management techniques.

Successful Strategies and Lessons Learned

Effective heritage conservation plans are founded on collaboration, innovation, and adaptive management techniques. One useful technique is to combine traditional knowledge with new technologies in heritage protection initiatives. For example, Kenya's Maasai Mara National Reserve uses a community-based conservation strategy, leveraging traditional knowledge and traditions to manage and maintain the reserve's rich biodiversity and cultural legacy (Tubey, Kyalo and Mulwa 2020). This strategy supports sustainable development by allowing local people to be stewards of their natural and cultural resources. It also generates a sense of ownership and responsibility for conservation.

Furthermore, cooperation between government agencies, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and local communities are critical to the success of historic conservation efforts. Australia's Great Barrier Reef Marine Park exemplifies the importance of collaborative partnerships and stakeholder participation in the preservation of the world's natural and cultural heritage. Through marine protected areas, zoning rules, and conservation policies, stakeholders work together to address concerns such as climate change, pollution, and overfishing, assuring the resilience and durability of reef ecosystems (Kroon et al. 2020).

Interestingly, effective heritage conservation methods must be adaptable and sensitive to shifting environmental, social, and economic situations. The protection of Ecuador's Galápagos Islands demonstrates the value of adaptive management in dealing with rising threats to fragile ecosystems and biodiversity. Conservationists work to balance the requirements of tourism, research, and conservation by implementing methods like invasive species control, habitat restoration, and visitor management, while also maintaining the islands' distinctive biological and cultural history (Hunt 2021).

Conclusion

In conclusion, cultural heritage protection emerges as an urgent priority, necessitating a comprehensive strategy guided by a knowledge of its value. This research revealed a wide range of dangers to cultural heritage, including conflict-related devastation, natural catastrophes, and illegal trafficking. These challenges jeopardize not just physical relics, but also the social fabric of communities and the preservation efforts committed to their survival. To address these difficulties, a diversified strategy that includes security measures is essential. Physical security measures, such as monitoring and access controls, are crucial for preventing theft and vandalism. Furthermore, legislative frameworks and agreements provide a solid foundation for heritage protection, bolstered by enforcement measures to assure compliance and responsibility. Community involvement projects are also important because they empower local stakeholders and develop a sense of care for cultural assets. Advances in technology have transformed heritage protection initiatives, increasing the effectiveness of conservation activities. Digital recording methods and remote sensing technology provide unmatched insights into the status of cultural assets, allowing for focused conservation efforts. Drawing on past conservation projects and best practices, as well as collaborative efforts and adaptive management, stakeholders may design a course for the long-term preservation and enjoyment of our common cultural heritage for future generations.

In addition to the need for partnership, education and public awareness are critical in cultural preservation. Education initiatives that raise knowledge about the importance of cultural heritage and the risks it confronts

might inspire people to become preservation activists. By including schools, colleges, and community groups, stakeholders may cultivate a culture of respect and responsibility for cultural heritage, inspiring pride and ownership in future generations. Furthermore, public outreach programs such as exhibits, seminars, and guided tours facilitate conversation and information sharing, promoting active engagement and support for cultural conservation efforts. Furthermore, by striking a balance between the need to preserve cultural monuments and the financial advantages of tourism, sustainable tourism projects are essential to the protection of heritage. The promotion of responsible tourism practices, which include visitor codes of conduct, visitor limit policies, and infrastructure investments for site management and conservation, can help stakeholders balance the potential negative effects of tourism on cultural heritage with its potential benefits as a source of income and community development. Sustainable tourism contributes to the long-term viability of historic assets and the people who depend on them by creating jobs for heritage conservation and encouraging tourists to recognize and respect cultural variety. Also, attempts to preserve cultural heritage must be guided by the ideals of inclusion and respect for differing viewpoints. The preservation and administration of historical places depend heavily on the traditional knowledge and cultural practices that are possessed by marginalized, indigenous, and local populations. Stakeholders may guarantee culturally sensitive, egalitarian, and sustainable heritage preservation programs by acknowledging and honoring their rights, values, and customary laws. Indigenous and local communities are better able to protect their cultural heritage and preserve the link between people and place when they are actively involved in decision-making procedures, resource management, and benefit-sharing schemes.

Since many sites across national borders require coordinated efforts and shared responsibilities across countries, international collaboration is vital for tackling transboundary cultural preservation concerns. Frameworks for collaboration are made possible by agreements like the World Heritage Convention of UNESCO, which make it easier to share information, develop expertise, and work together on conservation initiatives. Stakeholders may solve shared concerns, exchange best practices, and advance global sustainable management via promoting international collaboration and conversation. A comprehensive strategy that incorporates international cooperation, education, sustainable tourism, inclusion, and collaboration is needed to save cultural heritage. Adopting these values confirms our common duty as stewards of our cultural past and guarantees its ongoing preservation and enjoyment for both current and future generations.

Acknowledgements

References

1. Ahmad, Rukhsar. 2022. "The Legal Role of Government in Protecting Cultural Heritage and Archaeological Sites in the War-Affected Countries: The Case of Iraq and Syria." *Journal of Liberty and International Affairs Institute for Research and European Studies - Bitola* 8 (2): 281–92. https://doi.org/10.47305/jlia2282281a.
2. Apaydin, Veysel. 2020. "The Interlinkage of Cultural Memory, Heritage and Discourses of Construction, Transformation and Destruction." In *Critical Perspectives on Cultural Memory and Heritage*, edited by Veysel Apaydin, 13–30. UCL Press. https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctv13xpsfp.7.
3. Arumugam, Annadurai, Senthilkumar Nakkeeran, and Rajalakshmi Subramaniam. 2023. "Exploring the Factors Influencing Heritage Tourism Development: A Model Development." *Sustainability* 15 (15): 86–116. https://doi.org/10.3390/su151511986.
4. Barakat, Sultan. 2020. "Necessary Conditions for Integrated Approaches to the Post-Conflict Recovery of Cultural Heritage in the Arab World." *International Journal of Heritage Studies* 27 (5): 433–48. https://doi.org/10.1080/13527258.2020.1799061.
5. Benhamou, Francoise. 2020. "Heritage." In *Handbook of Cultural Economics*, 279–86. London: Edward Edgar Publishing ltd. https://www.e-elgar.com/shop/gbp/handbook-of-cultural-economics-third-edition-9781788975797.html?srsltid=AfmBOop9HiVaobess9NLVfGCEC8P3tXGsFrgp6iQt_0xTsWwyupPt44H.
6. Bilefsky, Dan. 2017. "ISIS Destroys Part of Roman Theater in Palmyra, Syria." *The New York Times*, January 20, 2017, sec. World. https://www.nytimes.com/2017/01/20/world/middleeast/palmyra-syria-isis-amphitheater.html.

7. Burduk, Alicja Jagielska, Mateusz Pszczyński, and Piotr Stec. 2021. "Cultural Heritage Education in UNESCO Cultural Conventions." *Sustainability* 13 (6): 35–48. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13063548.

8. Coomes, Oliver T., Santiago Rivas Panduro, Christian Abizaid, and Yoshito Takasaki. 2021. "Geolocation of Unpublished Archaeological Sites in the Peruvian Amazon." *Scientific Data* 8 (1): 290. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-021-01067-7.

9. Farmaki, Anna, and Dimitrios Stergiou. 2021. "Peace and Tourism: Bridging the Gap through Justice." *Peace & Change* 46 (3): 286–309. https://doi.org/10.1111/pech.12472.

10. Foreign Ministry of Oman. 2023. "Oman across Ages Museum - an Epitome of Past Glories, an Icon of the Renaissance." FM.gov.om. Foreign Ministry of Oman. March 12, 2023. https://www.fm.gov.om/the-oman-across-ages-museum-an-epitome-of-past-glories-an-icon-of-the-renaissance/.

11. Greenland, Fiona. 2021. "Art Crime and the Myth of Violence: Foundational Questions for a Critical Analysis of Art Policing." *Studies in Art, Heritage, Law and the Market* 1 (January): 173–88. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-84856-9_11.

12. Hayajneh, Hani, and Giorgia Cesaro. 2022. "The UNESCO Contribution to Safeguarding and Preserving Jordan's Cultural Heritage." *Jordan Journal for History and Archaeology* 16 (3): 367–86. https://doi.org/10.54134/jjha.v16i3.665.

13. Hewison, Robert. 2023. *The Heritage Industry: Britain in a Climate of Decline*. New York: Routledge Revivals.

14. Hunt, Carter A. 2021. "The Galapagos Islands, Ecuador." In *Overtourism: Lessons for a Better Future*, edited by Martha Honey and Kelsey Frenkiel, 215–26. Washington: Island Press.

15. Jueptner, E. 2020. "The Hague Jurisdiction Project: What Options for the Hague Conference?" *Journal of Private International Law* 16 (2): 247–74.

16. Kroon, Frederieke J., Kathryn L. E. Berry, Diane L. Brinkman, Rai Kookana, Frederic D. L. Leusch, Steven D. Melvin, Peta A. Neale, et al. 2020. "Sources, Presence and Potential Effects of Contaminants of Emerging Concern in the Marine Environments of the Great Barrier Reef and Torres Strait, Australia." *Science of the Total Environment* 719 (9): 135140. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135140.

17. Labadi, Sophia, Francesca Giliberto, Ilaria Rosetti, Linda Shetabi, and Ege Yildirim. 2021. "Heritage and the Sustainable Development Goals: Policy Guidance for Heritage and Development Actors." Paris: International Council on Monuments and Sites - ICOMOS.

18. Losè, Lorenzo Teppati, Alessandra Spreafico, Filiberto Chiabrando, and Fabio Giulio Tonolo. 2022. "Apple LiDAR Sensor for 3D Surveying: Tests and Results in the Cultural Heritage Domain." *Remote Sensing* 14 (17): 4157. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14174157.

19. Masciotta, M.G., M.J. Morais, L.F. Ramos, D.V. Oliveira, L. J. Sánchez-Aparicio, and D. González-Aguilera. 2021. "A Digital-Based Integrated Methodology for the Preventive Conservation of Cultural Heritage: The Experience of HeritageCare Project." *International Journal of Architectural Heritage* 15 (6): 844–63. https://doi.org/10.1080/15583058.2019.1668985.

20. Megarry, William, and Kacey Hadick. 2021. "Lessons from the Edge: Assessing the Impact and Efficacy of Digital Technologies to Stress Urgency about Climate Change and Cultural Heritage Globally." *The Historic Environment: Policy & Practice* 12 (3-4): 336–55. https://doi.org/10.1080/17567505.2021.1944571.

21. Nankervis, Alan R. 2002. "Tourism Planning and Policy in Australia and New Zealand: Cases, Issues and Practice by C. M. Hall, J. Jenkins and G. Kearsley (Eds). McGraw-Hill, Sydney, 1997. No. Of Pages: 284. ISBN 0-256-25451-6." *International Journal of Tourism Research* 4 (2): 156–56. https://doi.org/10.1002/jtr.251.

22. Nemeth, Erik. 2007. "Cultural Security: The Evolving Role of Art in International Security." *Terrorism and Political Violence* 19 (1): 19–42. https://doi.org/10.1080/09546550601054550.

23. Nishanbaev, Ikrom, Erik Champion, and David A. McMeekin. 2021. "A Web GIS-Based Integration of 3D Digital Models with Linked Open Data for Cultural Heritage Exploration." *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information* 10 (10): 684. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi10100684.

24. Omotayo, Funmilola O., and Abdilrasaq Haliru. 2020. "Perception of task-technology fit of digital library among undergraduates in selected universities in Nigeria." *The Journal of Academic Librarianship* 46 (1): 102097. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2019.102097.

25. Ortiz, Saúl Lázaro, and Celeste Jiménez de Madariaga. 2021. "The UNESCO Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage: A Critical Analysis." *International Journal of Cultural Policy* 28 (3): 327–41. https://doi.org/10.1080/10286632.2021.1941914.

26. Pallardy, Richard. 2024. "2010 Haiti Earthquake." *Encyclopedia Britannica. Encyclopedia Britannica*. September 9, 2024. https://www.britannica.com/event/2010-Haiti-earthquake..

27. Radnić, Jure, Domagoj Matešan, and Ante Abaza. 2020. "Restoration and Strengthening of Historical

Buildings: The Example of Minceta Fortress in Dubrovnik." *Advances in Civil Engineering* 2020 (1): e8854397. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8854397.

28. Scarbrough, Elizabeth. 2021. "Are Archaeological Parks the New Amusement Parks? UNESCO World Heritage Status and Tourism." In *Explorations in Archaeology and Philosophy*, edited by Anton Killin and Sean Allen-Hermanson, 235–61. Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-61052-4_13.

29. Tubey, Winnie Chebet, Dorothy Ndunge Kyalo, and Angeline Sabina Mulwa. 2020. "Environmental Conservation Strategies and Sustainability of Community Based Tourism in Kenya: A Case of Maasai Mara Conservancies." *International Journal of Tourism Policy* 10 (2): 123. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJTP.2020.110864.

30. UNESCO. 2009. "Cultural Heritage." UNESCO- Institute for Statistics. 2009. https://uis.unesco.org/en/glossary-term/cultural-heritage.

31. UNESCO World Heritage Convention. 2018. "World Heritage and Museums." UNESCO World Heritage Convention. 2018. https://whc.unesco.org/en/world-heritage-and-museums/.

32. UNESCO Living Heritage Entity. 2022. "Basic Texts of the 2003 Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage." Unesco.org. Paris: Unesco. https://ich.unesco.org/doc/src/2003_Convention_Basic_Texts-_2022_version-EN_.pdf.

33. United Nations. 2016. "Protecting Cultural Heritage: An Imperative for Humanity." New York: Interpol. https://www.unodc.org/documents/publications/SRIUN_Protecting_Cultural_Heritage_2016.09.12_LR.pdf.

34. VanValkenburgh, Parker, and J. Andrew Dufton. 2020. "Big Archaeology: Horizons and Blindspots." *Journal of Field Archaeology* 45 (sup1): S1–7. https://doi.org/10.1080/00934690.2020.1714307.

35. Zeidler, Kamil, and Julia Stepnowska. 2021. "United Nations Perspective on Preventing Transnational Organised Crime against Cultural Property in the Era of Sustainable Development and Restitution Arguments." In *Crime Prevention and Justice in 2030: The UN and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights,* edited by Helmut Kury and Sławomir Redo, 523–34. Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-56227-4_25.

36. Zorzi, Rosella Mamoli, Anne McCauly, and Erica Hirshler. 2006. *Gondola Days: Isabella Stewart Gardner and the Palazzo Barbaro Circle*. First edition. Isabella Stewart Gardiner.